

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
13 November 2003 (13.11.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 03/094387 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **H04B 7/06**

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/EP03/04185**

(22) International Filing Date: **18 April 2003 (18.04.2003)**

(25) Filing Language: **English**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:  
02291092.1 **30 April 2002 (30.04.2002) EP**

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **MOTOROLA INC** [US/US]; 1303 E.Algonquin Road, Schaumburg, IL 60196 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **BULJORE, Soodesh** [FR/FR]; Motorola Centre De Recherche, Parc Technologique De St Aubin, Route de l'arme Au Merisier, Immeuble Columbia, F-91190 Gif-Sur-Yvette (FR). **VIALLE, Sandrine** [FR/FR]; Motorola Centre De Recherche, Parc Technologique De St Aubin, Route

de l'arme Au Merisier, Immeuble Columbia, F-91190 Gif-Sur-Yvette (FR). **WHINNETT, Nicholas** [GB/FR]; Motorola Centre De Recherche, Parc Technologique De St Aubin, Route de l'arme Au Merisier, Immeuble Columbia, F-91190 Gif-Sur-Yvette (FR).


(74) Agent: **MCCORMACK, Derek, James**; Motorola European Intellectual, Property Operations, Midpoint, Alencon Link, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 7PL (GB).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: **WIRELESS COMMUNICATION USING MULTI-TRANSMIT MULTI-RECEIVE ANTENNA ARRAYS**



(57) Abstract: A method of closed-loop multi-stream wireless communication between a transmitter (1) comprising a transmit antenna array of N transmit antenna elements and a receiver (3) comprising a receive antenna array (4) of M receive antenna elements, wherein a plurality of distinct data streams ( $x_1$   $x_2$ ) are transmitted from the transmit antenna array to the receive antenna array and the data streams are weighted by respective complex weighting matrices before being applied to the transmit antenna array. The distinct data streams are separated and estimated at the receiver. The distinct data streams ( $x_1$   $x_G$ ) are applied to respective sub-groups (6,7) of the transmit antenna elements at least one of which comprises a plurality of the transmit antenna elements each of the sub-groups comprising at least  $N_d$  transmit antenna elements, where M is greater than or equal to  $(N/N_d)$ . The complex weighting matrices ( $v_1$  to  $v_n$ ) are functions of the respective transmission channels ( $h_{ij}$ ) of the data streams ( $x_1$  to  $x_G$ ) including the respective sub-groups of transmit antenna elements.  $N_d$  is preferably greater than or equal to two. Each of the complex weighting matrices is calculated to be substantially equal to the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $H H^H$ , where H is the matrix of the equivalent channel including the respective sub-groups of transmit antenna elements (6,7) seen by the corresponding data stream  $H H^H$  is the Hermitian transform of the matrix H. The number of the transmit antenna elements in each of the sub-groups is preferably re-configurable during operation as a function of channel conditions.

**Published:**

— *with international search report*

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

**Title : Wireless communication using multi-transmit multi-receive antenna arrays**

**Description**

5 Field of the invention

This invention relates to wireless communication using multi-transmit multi-receive antenna arrays, that is to say where both the transmitting and the receiving station comprise an array of antenna elements. In cases where the antenna elements at a given station may be used both for transmission and for reception, 10 references herein to a 'transmitter', a 'transmit antenna' a 'receiver' or a 'receive antenna' are to be construed as references to the function that they are exercising during that operation.

Background of the invention

Wireless communication systems are assuming ever-increasing importance 15 for the transmission of data, which is to be understood in its largest sense as covering speech or other sounds and images, for example, as well as abstract digital signals.

Currently proposed standards for wireless communication systems include the 3GPP (3<sup>rd</sup> generation Partnership Project) and 3GPP2 standards, which use 20 Code Division Multiple Access ('CDMA') and Frequency Division Duplex ('FDD') or Time Division Duplex ('TDD'), the HIPERLAN and HIPERLAN2 local area network standards of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute ('ETSI'), which use Time Division Duplex ('TDD') and the International Telecommunications Union ('ITU') IMT-2000 standards. The present invention is applicable to systems of 25 these kinds and other wireless communication systems.

In order to improve the communication capacity of the systems while reducing the sensitivity of the systems to noise and interference and limiting the power of the transmissions, various techniques are used separately or in combination, including space-time diversity, where the same data is transmitted 30 over different transmit and/or receive antenna elements, and frequency spreading,

such as Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplex ('OFDM') where the same data is spread over different channels distinguished by their sub-carrier frequency.

At the receiver, the detection of the symbols is performed utilising knowledge of the complex channel attenuation and phase shifts: the Channel State Information ('CSI'). The Channel State Information is obtained at the receiver by measuring the value of pilot signals transmitted together with the data from the transmitter. The knowledge of the channel enables the received signals to be processed jointly according to the Maximum Ratio Combining technique, in which the received signal is multiplied by the Hermitian transpose of the estimated channel transfer matrix.

Two broad ways of managing the transmit diversity have been categorised as 'closed loop' and 'open loop'. In closed loop signal transmission, information concerning the transmission channels is utilised at the transmitter to improve the communication. For example, the document Tdoc SMG2 UMTS-L1 318/98 presented to the ETSI UMTS Physical Layer Expert Group describes operation of a Transmit Adaptive Array (Tx AA) FDD scheme in which the dedicated channels are transmitted coherently with the same data and code at each transmit antenna, but with antenna-specific amplitude and phase weighting. The receiver uses pilots transmitted on the Common Channels to estimate separately the channels seen from each antenna. The receiver estimates the weights that should be applied at the transmitter to maximise the power received at the receiver, quantises the weights and feeds them back to the transmitter. The transmitter applies the respective quantised weights to the amplitudes and phases of the signals transmitted from each transmit antenna of the array. Alternatively, in TDD systems, the channel state information for weighting the signals applied to the downlink transmit antennas may be derived from the uplink signals, assuming that the channels are equivalent, without transmission of any specific channel or weighting information from the receiver to the transmitter.

Multi-Transmit-Multi-Receive ('MTMR') diversity schemes, where essentially the same signal is transmitted in space-time diversity over the different combinations of transmit and receive antenna elements, can provide significant gains in Signal-to-Noise Ratios ('SNR') and thus operate at low SNRs, enabling an

increase in spectral efficiency via the use of high order modulations. Alternatively, in multi-stream wireless communication schemes, different signals can be transmitted between the transmit and receive antenna element arrays enabling high spectral efficiency. However, multi-stream schemes of this kind that have  
5 been proposed are viable only at high SNRs and require complex receivers (for a N-Transmit and M-Receive antenna configuration, M must be greater than or equal to N) in order to be able to extract the different transmitted signals at the receiver.

An example of an open-loop multi-stream single user scheme is the Bell Labs  
10 layered space-time ('BLAST') scheme described in an article by G. J. Foschini entitled "Layered Space-Time Architecture for Wireless Communication in a fading Environment When Using Multiple Antennas," Bell Laboratories Technical Journal, Vol. 1, No. 2, Autumn, 1996, pp. 41-59.

A closed-loop alternative to the above scheme in which channel knowledge is  
15 used at the transmitter for multi-stream transmission is described in an article by Mansoor Ahmed, Joseph Pautler and Kamyar Rohani entitled "CDMA Receiver Performance for Multiple-Input Multiple-Output Antenna Systems," Vehicular Technology Conference, Fall, Atlanta City, Oct 2001. A schematic diagram illustrating the principle of this communication system is shown in the  
20 accompanying Figure 1.

Such schemes are limited by compromises between diversity gain and spectral efficiency and accordingly the range of operational SNRs is limited unless complexity is increased or high modulation constellations (for example greater than 64 QAM) are used. The present invention offers a substantial improvement in  
25 the compromise between diversity gain and spectral efficiency.

#### Summary of the invention

The present invention provides a method of, and apparatus for, wireless communication using multi-transmit multi-receive antenna arrays as described in the accompanying claims.

### Brief description of the drawings

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of a known generic multi-stream single user communication system,

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of a multi-stream communication system in accordance with one embodiment of the invention, given by way of example,

Figure 3 is a graph illustrating the performance of the system of Figure 2 for different spectral efficiencies,

Figure 4 is a graph illustrating the performance of the system of Figure 2 compared with an open loop system with the same number of transmit antenna elements but different numbers of receive antenna elements, and

Figure 5 is a graph illustrating the performance of the system of Figure 2 compared with an open loop system with the same numbers of transmit and receive antenna elements.

### Detailed description of the preferred embodiments

Figure 1 of the drawings shows a known multi-stream wireless communication system comprising a transmitter station 1 comprising a transmit antenna array 2 of  $N$  transmit antenna elements and a receiver station 3 comprising a receive antenna array 4 of  $M$  receive antenna elements. In the example illustrated in Figure 1,  $N=M=2$ . A plurality of distinct data streams  $x_1$  to  $x_F$  ( $F = \text{two}$  in the example of Figure 1) are transmitted from the transmit antenna array 2 to the receive antenna array 4 and the data streams are weighted by respective complex weighting coefficients  $v_{n,f}$  where  $n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  transmit antenna element and  $f$  is the  $f^{\text{th}}$  data stream before being applied to the transmit antenna array. The distinct data streams are separated and estimated at the receiver station in a linear or non-linear receiver 5, to produce detected signals  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ .

In the case shown in Figure 1, with  $N=M=F=2$ , the propagation channel can be represented by a matrix  $\underline{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{h}_{11} & \underline{h}_{12} \\ \underline{h}_{21} & \underline{h}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ . In the closed-loop system developed by Motorola and described in the article referred to above by Mansoor Ahmed, Joseph Pautler and Kamyar Rohani, channel knowledge is used at the transmitter

for the multi-stream transmission. This scheme requires the knowledge of the weight matrix,  $V=[V1 \ V2]$ , applied at the transmit antennas where  $V1=[v_{1,1} \ v_{2,1}]^T$  and  $V2=[v_{2,1} \ v_{2,2}]^T$  are two eigen-vectors of  $\underline{H}^H \underline{H}$  ( $T$  and  $H$  stand for transpose and conjugate transpose respectively). The inputs  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  shown in Figure 1 represent noise added to the signal channels. The noise is assumed in the analysis below to be independent, identically distributed ('i.i.d.') complex-valued Gaussian random values with variance  $\sigma^2$  (AWGN noise). Finally  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  represent the respective received signals on the two antennas of the receive antenna array 2.

It will be appreciated that the BLAST technique described in the article referred to above by G. J. Foschini is equivalent to setting  $v_{1,1}=v_{2,2}=1$  and  $v_{1,2}=v_{2,1}=0$ , that is to say that each data stream is transmitted only on a single respective transmit antenna element and no channel knowledge is used at the transmitter (open loop).

It will also be appreciated that, in a conventional TxAA closed loop transmit diversity scheme, a single stream is transmitted according to the eigenvector corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue of  $\underline{H}^H \underline{H}$ , so that  $V1=[v_{1,1} \ v_{2,1}]^T$  and  $V2=0$ . This is a closed loop single stream single user scheme whereas in the dual-stream TxAA shown in figure 1 both eigenvectors  $V1$  and  $V2$  are used.

Analysis, in the context of High Speed Downlink Shared Channel (HS-DSCH) communication, has arrived at two main conclusions regarding the dual-Stream Tx AA. The first conclusion is that at low SNR (about -5dB), with turbo codes, H-ARQ and water-filling the closed-loop dual-stream scheme can provide up to 50% increase in average throughput (Bits/Chip Interval) when compared to the open loop dual-stream scheme. The second conclusion is that for the closed-loop dual-stream scheme the performance (average throughput) of a non-linear receiver is nearly the same as that with a linear receiver, that is to say that the use of channel knowledge at the transmitter eliminates the need for non-linear processing.

However, it has been found that single stream closed loop transmit diversity (Tx AA) provides the best performance at mid and low SNR (-5 to 10 dB) and average throughput of 0.5 to 3 bits/chip-interval. This is very important, given that

the high SNR scenario ( $>10$  dB) conditions occur with low probability in cellular systems (especially CDMA systems, for example).

The embodiment of the present invention shown in Figure 2 takes advantage of closed loop transmit diversity while increasing the data rate by using multi-streaming. Similar elements in Figure 2 to those of Figure 1 have the same numbering.

This embodiment of the invention is applicable generally where  $F$  data streams are transmitted from respective sub-groups of the transmit antenna elements at least one of which comprises a plurality of the transmit antenna elements. In a preferred embodiment of the invention, each of the sub-groups of transmit antenna elements has the same number  $N_d$  of transmit antenna elements. In another embodiment of the present invention, the sub-groups of transmit antenna elements have different numbers of transmit antenna elements, each of the sub-groups comprising at least  $N_d$  transmit antenna elements. Preferably, as in this embodiment of the invention, the minimum number  $N_d$  of transmit antenna elements in any sub-group is at least two. The use of more than one antenna element in a sub-group improves the diversity of the communication for that data stream, while the use of more than one sub-group improves the spectral efficiency by transmitting different signals via the sub-groups. The choice of the configuration, including the number of transmit antenna elements in each sub-group, and hence of  $N$  and  $N_d$  is an optimisation problem which can be formulated in the context of a given application as a function of channel conditions and target performance, for example.

Depending on the target performance and functioning SNR, one can choose  $N_d$ , and the number of groups in order to provide the needed diversity and spectral efficiency. Moreover, one can also choose to set  $N_d$  and the number of groups such that not all  $N$  antennas are used, economising on calculation complexity at the receiver. This configuration can be used in the case of good channel quality, thus high SNR and low target performance. In one embodiment of the present invention, the numbers of antennas used in total and in each sub-group and the value of  $N_d$  are modified during operation of the system to adapt the choices to the current channel conditions and target performance.



On the receiver side, this embodiment of the invention is applicable generally to a number  $M$  of receive antennas, where  $M$  is greater than or equal to  $(N/N_d)$ .

For the sake of simplicity, the preferred embodiment of the invention is illustrated in Figure 2 for the case of 2 data-streams, 4 transmit antennas and 2 receive antennas ( $N=4$ ,  $N_d=2$ ,  $M=2$ ). The multi-stream wireless communication system shown in Figure 2 comprises a transmitter station 1 comprising a transmit antenna array 2 of two transmit antenna elements and a receiver station 3 comprising a receive antenna array 4 of two receive antenna elements. A linear or non-linear receiver 5 separates, decodes and demodulates the signals received at the receive antenna array 4.

The elements of the transmit antenna array 2 are connected in two sub-groups 6 and 7. Two distinct data streams  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are transmitted respectively from the transmit antenna sub-group 6 and from the transmit antenna sub-group 7 to the receive antenna array 4. The data stream  $x_1$  is weighted by complex weighting coefficients  $v_1$  and  $v_1$  before being applied to the two antenna elements of the sub-group 6 respectively and the data stream  $x_2$  is weighted by complex weighting coefficients  $v_3$  and  $v_4$  before being applied to the two antenna elements of the sub-group 7 respectively. The distinct data streams are separated and estimated at the receiver station in a linear or non-linear receiver 5, to produce detected signals  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ .

In the case shown in Figure 2, with  $N=M=N_d=2$ , the propagation channel can be represented by two matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} h_{31} & h_{41} \\ h_{32} & h_{42} \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $h_{ij}$  represents the channel from the  $i^{\text{th}}$  transmit antenna element to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  receive antenna element.

The received signal vector can then be represented as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

where

$$u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{h}_{11} & \underline{h}_{21} \\ \underline{h}_{12} & \underline{h}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{h}_{11}v_1 + \underline{h}_{21}v_2 \\ \underline{h}_{12}v_1 + \underline{h}_{22}v_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{h}_{31} & \underline{h}_{41} \\ \underline{h}_{32} & \underline{h}_{42} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_3 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{h}_{31}v_3 + \underline{h}_{41}v_4 \\ \underline{h}_{32}v_3 + \underline{h}_{42}v_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

and where the data streams are weighted by respective complex weighting coefficients  $v_{n,f}$ ,  $n$  being the  $n^{\text{th}}$  transmit antenna element and  $f$  the  $f^{\text{th}}$  data stream,  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  represent the respective received signals on the two antennas of the receive antenna array 2, and  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  represent noise added to the signal channels, again assumed to be independent, identically distributed ('i.i.d.') complex-valued Gaussian random values with variance  $\sigma^2$  (AWGN noise).

Re-writing *Equation(1)* in a vector form, we obtain that:

$$Y = H_{equ}x + N \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

where

$$H_{equ} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{h}_{11}v_1 + \underline{h}_{21}v_2 & \underline{h}_{31}v_3 + \underline{h}_{41}v_4 \\ \underline{h}_{12}v_1 + \underline{h}_{22}v_2 & \underline{h}_{32}v_3 + \underline{h}_{42}v_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Equation 4}$$

and the dimension of  $H_{equ}$  is 2x2.

The estimated symbols (streams) at the output of a linear minimum mean square error (MMSE) receiver are given by:

$$s = GY = GH_{equ}x + GN \quad \text{Equation 5}$$

where  $G = (H_{equ}^H H_{equ} + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} H_{equ}^H$  is the transfer function of the MMSE receiver,  $I$  is the identity matrix and the superscript  $H$  stands for the operation transpose conjugate.

For each stream the coefficients  $V_1 = [v_1 \ v_2]^T$  and  $V_2 = [v_3 \ v_4]^T$  are chosen in order to maximize the received power  $P$  under unit norm constraint so that the total transmit power is also normalized. The analytic solutions for  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , also called the eigenfilter solution (see for example chapters 4.4 and 4.5 of the book "Adaptive filter theory" by Simon Haykin, published by Prentice Hall) are the eigenvectors corresponding to the largest eigenvalues of the matrices  $H_1^H H_1$  and  $H_2^H H_2$ , where

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{h}_{11} & \underline{h}_{21} \\ \underline{h}_{12} & \underline{h}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{h}_{31} & \underline{h}_{41} \\ \underline{h}_{32} & \underline{h}_{42} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Equation 6}$$

Using Equation (6), the two streams can be separated and estimated at the output of the receiver, thus an increase in spectral efficiency of order 2 is obtained. In addition, for coherent combining and diversity gain, the antenna coefficients  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are chosen to maximize the receiver output power for each stream.

The performance of this embodiment of the present invention, referred to as multi-stream transmit adaptive antenna ('M-TxAA') is shown in Figures 3, 4 and 5 for different values of  $N$ ,  $N_d$  and  $M$  and spectral efficiencies, for the case  $N=4$ ,  $N_d=2$  and  $M=2$ . The performance is evaluated in terms of un-coded bit error rate ('BER') as a function of the ratio of transmit energy per bit to noise ('Tx Eb/No').

The results obtained with this embodiment of the invention are shown in figure 3 for different spectral efficiencies, given by different coding schemes: binary phase shift key ('BPSK'), quadrature phase shift key ('QPSK'), and quadrature amplitude modulation with 16 and 64 symbols per constellation ('QAM-16' and 'QAM-64').

Figure 4 shows a comparison between the performances of this embodiment of the present invention (M-TxAA) and an open loop system ('OL') with the same number of transmit antenna elements (four) and four receive antenna elements instead of this embodiment of the present invention's two receive antenna elements. It will be seen that for the given range of Tx Eb/No [6-20 dB], the performance is significantly improved when M-TxAA is used compared to the multi-stream open loop scheme (BLAST). Furthermore, for a given SNR and un-coded BER, (say  $3e^{-2}$  and 20 dB) M-TxAA achieves a bit rate of 12 Bits/Symbol ( $R=2 \times 6$ ) which is 50% higher than the open loop multi-stream scheme. On the other hand, for a fixed bit rate and a given un-coded BER (e.g. 8 bits/symbol and  $3e^{-2}$ ) M-TxAA can operate at a SNR of 16.5 dB which is 3.5 dB less than the open loop multi-stream scheme. Note that for these figures 3 and 4, only  $M=2$  antennas is used at the receiver for M-TxAA, thus resulting in a reduced mobile complexity, whereas the open loop multi-stream needs at least  $M=4$  receive antennas.

Figure 5 shows a comparison between the performances of this embodiment of the present invention (M-TxAA) and an open loop system ('OL') with the same number (four) of transmit antenna elements and of receive antenna elements. It will be seen that, for a given spectral efficiency, e.g. 8bits/symbol, and a given un-coded BER, e.g.  $3e^{-2}$ , M-TxAA can operate at a SNR of 10.0 dB, which is 10 dB less than the open loop multi-stream scheme. Moreover, at the same un-coded BER of  $3e^{-2}$ , for a bit rate 50% higher than the open loop (12 bits/symbol rather than 8 bits/symbol), M-TxAA still can operate at an SNR of 14 dB, i.e., 4 dB lower.

The quantisation of the weights  $V_1 = [v_1 \ v_2]^T$  and  $V_2 = [v_3 \ v_4]^T$  can be performed as specified in the current 3GPP Rel'99 Closed loop transmit diversity scheme. The elements  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  can be fixed to a constant power, and  $v_2$  and  $v_4$  are set to relative amplitude and phase (to  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  respectively). Thus only the two coefficients  $v_2$  and  $v_4$  need to be fed back which represents negligible additional overhead.

In the embodiments of the invention described above, the transmit antenna pairs (6) and (7) form part of a single transmitter, that is to say that they are in the same cell/sector. However it is also possible for them to form parts of two different sectors/cells with which the mobile is in simultaneous communication during soft-handover/softer-handover. Thus the mobile would receive, two separate streams from two different cells/sector base-station transmitters.

The embodiments of the invention have been described above with specific reference to the example where there are two transmit antenna sub-groups with two antenna elements in each sub-group and two receive antenna elements. The adaptation of the above equations to the more general case of  $G$  sub-groups of transmit antenna elements, the sub-group  $G_i$  comprising  $N_i$  transmit antenna elements where  $N_i \geq N_d$ , and  $M$  receive antenna elements gives the following equations (indicated for the flat-fading case, the extension to the more general multi-path case being obtained by putting corresponding vectors for the terms of the matrices):

Equation (1) becomes:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \\ \vdots \\ y_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_g & \cdots & u_G \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_g \\ \vdots \\ x_G \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ \vdots \\ n_g \\ \vdots \\ n_G \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Equation 7}$$

The values of  $u_i$  become (Equation 2)

$$u_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+1,1}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+k,1}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} N_{j,1}} & \left| \frac{V}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+1}} \right. \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+1,m}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+k,m}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} N_{j,m}} & \left| \frac{V}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+2}} \right. \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+1,1}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+k,M}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} N_{j,M}} & \left| \frac{V}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_j} \right. \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Equation 8}$$

with  $i=1, \dots, G$ , note that the sum is for the first index only, that is if we represent

5  $\underline{h}_{\text{index1}, \text{index2}}$ , then index 1 is a sum as expressed above.

Equation 4 becomes:

$$H_{equ} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_g & \cdots & u_G \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{equ}(a, b) \\ a = 1 \cdots M \\ b = 1 \cdots G \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Equation 9}$$

with

$$H_{equ}(a, b) = \sum_{l=1}^{V_b} \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+l, a}} \sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+l} \quad \text{Equation 10}$$

The eigenfilter solution for  $V_i$  (c.f. Equation 6) is then the eigenvector

10 corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $H_i^H H_i$  where:

$$H_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+1,1}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+k,1}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} N_{j,1}} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+1,m}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+k,m}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} N_{j,m}} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+1,1}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} N_{j+k,M}} & \cdots & \frac{h}{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} N_{j,M}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Equation 11}$$

## Claims

1. A method of closed-loop multi-stream wireless communication between transmitter means (1) comprising a transmit antenna array of  $N$  transmit antenna elements and receiver means (3) comprising a receive antenna array (4) of  $M$  receive antenna elements, wherein a plurality of distinct data streams ( $x_1, x_2$ ) are transmitted from said transmit antenna array to said receive antenna array and said data streams are weighted by respective complex weighting matrices before being applied to said transmit antenna array, said distinct data streams being separated and estimated at said receiver means, characterised in that said distinct data streams ( $x_1, x_G$ ) are applied to respective sub-groups (6, 7) of said transmit antenna elements at least one of which comprises a plurality of said transmit antenna elements, each of said sub-groups comprising at least  $N_d$  transmit antenna elements, where  $M$  is greater than or equal to  $(N/N_d)$ , said complex weighting matrices ( $v_1$  to  $v_n$ ) being functions of the respective transmission channels ( $h_{ij}$ ) of said data streams ( $x_1$  to  $x_G$ ) including the respective sub-groups of transmit antenna elements.
2. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein  $N_d$  is greater than or equal to two.
3. A method as claimed in claim 1 or 2, wherein each of said complex weighting matrices is calculated to be substantially equal to the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $\mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{H}$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the matrix of the equivalent channel including the respective sub-groups of transmit antenna elements (6, 7) seen by the corresponding data stream and  $\mathbf{H}^H$  is the Hermitian transform of the matrix  $\mathbf{H}$ .
4. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the number of said transmit antenna elements in each of said sub-groups is re-configurable during operation.
5. Transmitter apparatus for performing a method as claimed in any preceding claim.

6. Receiver apparatus for performing a method as claimed in any of claims 1 to 4.




Figure 1




Figure 2






Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/EP 03/04185

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 H04B7/06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 01 69814 A (NOKIA NETWORKS OY ;HOTTINEN ARI (FI); TIRKKONEN OLAV (FI)) 20 September 2001 (2001-09-20) abstract; claims 1,12,15,18,30; figures 3,5 page 8, line 8 - line 19 page 12, line 10 - line 17 ----	1,2,4-6
X	US 6 353 638 B1 (HOTTINEN ARI ET AL) 5 March 2002 (2002-03-05) abstract; claims 1,24; figures 2A,3 column 1, line 65 -column 2, line 43 ----	1,2,4-6
X	WO 00 36764 A (NOKIA NETWORKS OY ;WICHMAN RISTO (FI); HOTTINEN ARI (FI)) 22 June 2000 (2000-06-22) abstract; figures 2A,3A,3B page 3, line 1 - line 29 ----- -/-	1,2,5,6

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*&\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 July 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

07/08/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Katruff, M

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat Application No

PCT/EP 03/04185

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 590 205 A (POPOVICH STEVEN R) 31 December 1996 (1996-12-31) abstract; claim 1 column 1, line 59 -column 2, line 62 ---	3
A	AHMED M ; PAUTLER J ; ROHANI K: "CDMA receiver performance for multiple-input multiple-output" IEEE 54TH VEHICULAR TECHNOLOGY, 7 - 11 October 2001, pages 1309-1313, XP001096558 Atlantic City, NJ, USA cited in the application abstract; figures 1,2 page 1309, left-hand column, paragraph 1 -page 1310, left-hand column, last paragraph -----	1-6

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 03/04185

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0169814	A	20-09-2001	WO 0169814 A1	20-09-2001
			AU 3167000 A	24-09-2001
			EP 1266463 A1	18-12-2002
US 6353638	B1	05-03-2002	FI 981575 A	09-01-2000
			AU 5041399 A	01-02-2000
			CN 1273717 T	15-11-2000
			EP 1013000 A1	28-06-2000
			WO 0003496 A1	20-01-2000
			JP 2002520944 T	09-07-2002
			NO 20001177 A	07-03-2000
WO 0036764	A	22-06-2000	FI 982715 A	16-06-2000
			AU 1984700 A	03-07-2000
			BR 9916239 A	04-09-2001
			CN 1330816 T	09-01-2002
			EP 1131903 A2	12-09-2001
			WO 0036764 A2	22-06-2000
			JP 2002533010 T	02-10-2002
			US 2002012380 A1	31-01-2002
US 5590205	A	31-12-1996	NONE	